Selective COX-2 inhibitors: Are they safer NSAIDs?

This Therapeutics Letter adds to information about NSAIDs in Letters 4 and 17. It also responds to our commitment in Letter 31 to report on celecoxib when published trials became available.

What is the baseline risk of peptic ulcer complications?
The risk of hospitalization due to peptic ulcer complication is about 0.2% per year in non-users of NSAIDs (Saskatchewan data from 1982-1986). The most common presentation is gastrointestinal (GI) hemorrhage. Risk is higher in men than women and increases with age.

What is the risk of peptic ulcer complications in patients taking NSAIDs?
Using data based on community dispensed NSAIDs, baseline incidence is increased 4-fold in patients currently taking NSAIDs. The risk varies widely with different NSAIDs and is illustrated in the left arrow of the Figure. Risk also varies with dose, 2.5 fold for low doses and 8.5 fold for high doses. Ibuprofen has the lowest risk, 2.0 fold, estimated absolute risk increase (ARI) 0.2%, number needed to harm (NNH) 500 per year.

What can be done to reduce the risk of NSAID GI toxicity?
- prescribe NSAIDs only to patients who do not respond to acetaminophen
- select the NSAID with the lowest GI toxicity
- prescribe the lowest possible dose for the shortest duration of time

Why might selective COX-2 inhibitors cause less peptic ulcer complications?
Potential for reduced GI toxicity with selective COX-2 inhibitors is based on the hypothesis that inhibition of COX-1 by effects on GI mucosa and platelets is the main cause of GI ulcers and bleeding. Testing by a single laboratory using human assay systems shows that rofecoxib is the most selective of available NSAIDs (>50-fold potency for COX-2 over COX-1). The relative COX-2 selectivity of common NSAIDs are shown in the right hand arrow of the Figure. The order of COX-2 selectivity does not appear to explain the order of GI toxicity.

How is the GI toxicity of different NSAIDs best compared?
Comparing different NSAIDs should be done in head-to-head randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using minimum effective doses and measuring serious GI outcomes. The most critical outcome to the patient is peptic ulcer complication (hemorrhage, perforation or obstruction) leading to hospitalization. A less serious but important outcome is symptomatic peptic ulcer possibly requiring outpatient investigation and 6-8 weeks of treatment. Because these 2 outcomes have substantially different implications to the patient, they should be reported separately.

Do the newer more selective COX-2 inhibitors cause less serious GI toxicity?
Four published head-to-head RCTs provide a partial answer to this question. Unfortunately, in all these trials a single dose of drug was administered to all patients and not in a manner to minimize toxicity i.e. titrating the dose to the minimum effective dose. The CLASS trial randomized 7968 patients to 3 groups, celecoxib 400 mg BID, ibuprofen 800 mg TID and diclofenac, 75 mg BID; patients (69% female, mean age 60) with osteoarthritis (72.6%) and rheumatoid arthritis were treated for an average duration of 4.2 months. In the VIGOR trial, rofecoxib 50 mg per day was compared to naproxen 500 mg BID in 8076 patients (80% female, mean age 58) with rheumatoid arthritis over a median treatment period of 9 months. The Table shows the major outcomes from these 2 trials. Only rofecoxib significantly reduced complicated ulcers. While subgroup analyses were reported in these trials, these should be used to design future trials, not to support conclusions or clinical decisions.

Two large short-term RCTs (28 days) compared meloxicam with other NSAIDs in osteoarthritis patients. MELISSA7 (9323 patients) compared meloxicam 7.5 mg daily with diclofenac SR 100 mg daily and SELECT8 (8656 patients) compared meloxicam 7.5 mg daily with piroxicam 20 mg daily.
Interpretation of these trials is difficult as the doses were not equi-effective; measures of efficacy in the meloxicam patients were significantly less than the comparator in both trials. Complicated and symptomatic ulcers were uncommon and not significantly different. Total withdrawals due to adverse events were lower, 6.0%, with meloxicam than diclofenac, 8.0%, or piroxicam, 7.2%.7,8

Are the newer selective COX-2 inhibitors safer overall?

The best measure of overall safety, serious adverse events, is a required outcome of all clinical trials. This category includes death, life-threatening events, events leading to or prolonging hospitalization, and cancers. Total serious adverse events were not lower with the new drugs: celecoxib (see Table); meloxicam, 1.2%, versus diclofenac, 1.1%; and meloxicam, 0.6%, versus piroxicam, 0.7%.8 This outcome was not reported in the VIGOR paper, however, total withdrawals due to adverse events were similar for rofecoxib and naproxen, and myocardial infarctions were significantly increased in the rofecoxib group (see Table).6 Withdrawals due to renal events were similar for the new drugs and the comparators.

What important data is not provided in these RCT publications?

CLASS: death and serious adverse events by category and cause, separate complete data for ibuprofen and diclofenac, incidence of GI investigations in 3 treatment groups.5

VIGOR: serious adverse events and withdrawals due to adverse events by category and cause.6

MELISSA and SELECT: death, serious adverse events and withdrawals due to adverse events by category and cause.7,8

What is the dose and cost of newer NSAIDs?

Celecoxib 100 to 200 mg BID, cost $1.37-2.71 daily. Meloxicam 7.5 to 15 mg daily, cost $0.83–0.99 daily. Rofecoxib 12.5 to 25 mg daily, cost $1.34 daily (for either dose). The costs of the other NSAIDs are included in Letters 4 and 17. These costs have been updated to 2000 prices on our website (www.ti.ubc.ca).

Conclusions

• Patients on rofecoxib had less complicated and symptomatic ulcers but more myocardial infarctions than patients on naproxen.

• COX-2 selective NSAIDs were associated with the same incidence of serious adverse events as non-selective NSAIDs.

• Celecoxib and meloxicam caused fewer withdrawals due to adverse events than non-selective NSAIDs.

• Head-to-head RCTs comparing NSAIDs with dosing regimens to optimize efficacy and safety would be useful.

Table: Major outcomes in order of most to least serious from CLASS5 & VIGOR6 trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Celecoxib %</th>
<th>Other* %</th>
<th>RR 95% CI</th>
<th>ARR %</th>
<th>NNT 4 mo</th>
<th>Rofecoxib %</th>
<th>Naproxen %</th>
<th>RR 95% CI</th>
<th>ARR ARI %</th>
<th>NNT 9 mo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myocardial infarction</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4-2.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.3-12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complicated ulcers</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3-1.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2-0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious adverse events</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.8-1.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic ulcers</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.4-1.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3-0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawals due to adverse events</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.8-0.97</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.9-1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Half ibuprofen and half diclofenac. RR = Risk Reduction, CI = Confidence Interval, ARR = Absolute Risk Reduction, NNT = Number Needed to Treat to prevent one event, ARI = Absolute Risk Increase, NNH = Number Needed to Treat to cause one Harmful event, NS = Non-Significant, NR = Not Reported.
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